with a mental illness protected by the ADA. Therefore I presented a federal question to that court" Does the Americans with Disabilities Aut Protect Criminal Defendants in State Prosegutions ? The Court issued Notice of Electronic Filing in deliberate indifference filed on June 21, 2016 omitting Smith's ADA claim's in Smith V. Pollard 16-2V-10, contending that

من الأمارية بالحا**ا** 

it was too early to decide whether the demands of this law suit ex ceeds plaintiffabilities to handle it on his own. Citing Prutt V Mote, 503 F, 3d 647 (7th cir. 2007), Further stating "The court is going to hold a telephonic preliminary pretrial conference soon During that phone call, I will talk to plaintiff about his specific concerns in this case and I will take those concerns into account when considering plainties future request for assistance recruiting counsel. For now, however, Plaintiff's motion is denied without prejudice. Therefore, the Plaintiff is compell ed to Appeal this discriminatory ofder issued 6/21/2016 in case Smith V. Pollard 16-CV-10 and order date 6/17/2016 in cuse Smith V. Pollard 16-cV-09, to the United States Court of Appeals For the Seventh Circuit presenting two certified Federal Ques tions Does the Americans with Disabilities Act Protect Crimi nal Defendants in State Prosecutions? Itso, create a train ing program for Criminal Justice and Law Fakorce ment on how to identify disabled defendants in police Interrogations and court proceeding under Title II of the ADA. And Does Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act sequire Appointment of Counsel upon Request or does the court have grounds to evaluate the individual before appointment of Counsel? Smith believes that the District Court is inadvertently de criminating against him in deliberate indifference to his ADA claims after informing the Distict Court that he is a qualified individual with an intellectual disability, Smith in formed the court that he suffered a brain injury, trauma, tramatic head injury, the results which are expected to last indefinitely which constitutes a substantial handicap to the individual and smith has been diagnosed with aftention impairment, contion impairment, and conduct disorder to such extent that he requires cure and treat ment for his own welfare. His memory impairment grossly impairs judgment, behavior and his ability to meet ordinary de mands of life. Plus he has a learning disability. How could the District court evaluate smith over the phone with all these obsticles to overcome when smith also informed the court he is afraid to come out his cell and has refused to come out his cell for anything. So the District court will be unable to hold a fair hearing as to the extent of Smith's disability. Therefore, Mr. Smith is compelled to Appeal to the U.s. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Gircuit due to discrimination by the district court. Un derpenalty of purjury I declare the Foregoing is true and correct
Nated this 23rd day of June 2016

Very

Very Truly